UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

KEN	INE	ГН	HO	WA	RD
1771			\mathbf{u}	* * 4 3	IV.

-		. •	CC
ы	lain	t1	++

Case No. 08-13501

v.

Honorable Patrick J. Duggan

WAYNE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, FRANK WOOD, JOHN HARDIE, and WAYNE COUNTY,

Defe	endants.		
			/

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS IN LIMINE

At a session of said Court, held in the U.S. District Courthouse, Eastern District of Michigan, on July 21, 2011.

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE PATRICK J. DUGGAN

U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Presently before the Court is Defendants' motion to exclude evidence of Plaintiff's criminal trial and acquittal. The issue in this case as defined by the Court of Appeals in its Opinion of March 29, 2011, is whether or not the Defendants used excessive force in arresting the Plaintiff. The Court of Appeals affirmed this Court's grant of summary judgment to the Defendants on Plaintiff's false arrest claim ruling, in effect, that Plaintiff's arrest was not unlawful or "false." The Court, however, felt that the issue of whether or not excessive force was used was an issue to be decided by the jury. This Court does not believe

2:08-cv-13501-PJD-RSW Doc # 56 Filed 07/21/11 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 555

that evidence of Plaintiff's criminal trial and acquittal are relevant as to whether or not

Defendants used excessive force in effecting the arrest on August 8, 2006. Defendants'

motion in limine to exclude evidence of Plaintiff's criminal trial and acquittal is **GRANTED**.

Defendants have also filed a motion in limine to exclude evidence of discipline of

Defendant Frank Wood relating to evidence in his personnel file which may relate to prior

discipline.

Defendant Wood seeks to preclude admission of any evidence concerning his personnel

file or asking questions concerning information in his personnel file and moves to preclude

any testimony regarding previous lawsuits in which he was named as a defendant. In this

Court's opinion, such evidence has minimal relevance, if any, on the issue of whether or not

Defendant would use excessive force on the date in question and further, in this Court's

opinion, such evidence would be substantially more prejudicial than probative. For these

reasons, Defendants' motion is **GRANTED**.

<u>s/PATRICK J. DUGGAN</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies to:

LaNita R. Haith

James M. Surowiec

Robert S. Gazall

2